

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,477	12/0	08/2003	Alan Edward Landers	821603-1060	503-1060 3042	
24504	7590	03/15/2005		· EXAMINER		
THOMAS,	KAYDEN,	HORSTEMEY	ER & RISLEY, LLP	KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A		
100 GALLE	RIA PARKW	/AY, NW			0.000.000.000	
STE 1750				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 30339-	-5948		3754		

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	A 1: 4: Al -						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/730,477	LANDERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma to reply within the statutory minimum o riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) latute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur te ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
. —	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/03.	Paper Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,477 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johanson '373.

Johanson shows a hopper 2 having a circular profile and opening as seen in Figures 1A and 1B; bin 8; outlet 5; trough feeder as the lower portion of 2; auger/agitator/expelling means 1; and transition section seen in Figure 1B. Johanson lacks the rectangular top opening, the matching rectangular conduit section and the motor. Johanson does show an almost rectangular opening and conduit in Figure 1B having two parallel sides. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a rectangular opening and matching conduit section on the device of

Art Unit: 3754

Johanson in order to accommodate different manufacturer's bins. Further, one of ordinary skill would clearly employ the teaching of Johanson of matching an opening and conduit section to other shapes common in the art. Finally, employing a motor to rotate the auger would have been obvious as Johanson is silent to the motive force behind the auger rotation and a motor provides for automatic and a more uniform dispensing of the material. Note, the method clearly follows from the above discussion and from the disclosure of Johanson.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Renner shows a hopper and auger structure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Al Kaufman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

3/14/05

jak

March 14, 2005